

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH

RA No.244/99 in OA No.869/94

New Delhi, this 17th day of December, 1999

Hon'ble Shri A.V. Haridasan, Vice-Chairman(J)
Hon'ble Shri S.P.Biswas, Member(A)

Vimal Chandra Pandey
50/3, MCD Flats
Banglow Road, Delhi

.. Applicant

(By Shri A.K. Behera, Advocate)

versus

1. Chief Secretary
Govt. of NCT of Delhi
5, Sham Nath Marg, Delhi
2. Secretary
Ministry of Home Affairs
North Block, New Delhi
3. Secretary
UPSC
Shahjahan Road, New Delhi

.. Respondents

ORDER(in circulation)


Hon'ble Shri S.P. Biswas


This review application has been filed on behalf of the applicant seeking review of the judgement and order dated 28.7.99 by which OA 869/94 was dismissed being devoid of merit.

2. From the averments made in the RA, we find that the applicant has only tried to build up a case on the very same facts and grounds that have been raised in the OA which were thoroughly considered by us and after going through the detailed reply filed on behalf of the respondents, the OA was rightly dismissed on merit for the reasons mentioned in our order dated 28.7.99. Therefore, the present RA is not maintainable.

2/12/99

3. That apart, it would be pertinent to reiterate here that the scope of review is very limited. The Tribunal under Section 22(3)(f) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 read with the provisions of Order 47, Rule 1 of CPC exercises the power of review if there is (1) discovery of a new and important piece of evidence, which inspite of due diligence was not available with the review applicant at the time of hearing or when the order was made; (2) an error apparent on the face of the record or (3) any other analogous ground. Since none of these ingredients is available in the present RA, the same deserves to be dismissed. We do so accordingly.


(S.P. Biswas)
Member(A)


(A.V. Haridasan)
Vice-Chairman(J)

/gtv/