

2

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH  
NEW DELHI

R.A. NO. 336/2001  
in

O.A. NO. 860/2001

This the 04th day of ~~November~~ <sup>DECEMBER</sup>, 2001.

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ASHOK AGARWAL, CHAIRMAN  
HON'BLE SHRI V.K.MAJOTRA, MEMBER (A)

Vijay Kumar Singh

... Applicant

-versus-

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

O R D E R

Hon'ble Shri V.K.Majotra, Member (A) :

Through this application the applicant seeks review of order dated 6.9.2001 passed in OA No.860/2001. Among others, the applicant has stated that whereas the respondents' plea that work was tapering off was accepted by the Tribunal, <sup>b</sup> ~~the applicant has stated in this~~ <sup>b</sup> ~~application that~~ several works/projects are still in progress and the work available with the respondents has not tapered off or is not nearing completion. In this regard the applicant has filed Annexure A-2 which relates to assets-acquisition, construction and replacement for 2001-02. The applicant has particularly pointed out items 392 to 395, 397 to 401, 406 and 409 to 412 to establish that the works available with the respondents have not tapered off nor have they reached near completion. Whereas Annexure A-2 could have been produced by the applicant much before the disposal of the concerned OA, we find that the outlay for the items pointed out by the applicant expected up to the end of 2000-01 was Rs.144,85,90,000, and the outlay proposed for



2001-02 is Rs.19,83,99,000 which is substantially less than the outlay provided for the year 2000-01. Obviously the work available with the respondents has tapered off and the contention of the applicant is not acceptable.

2. Through this review application, the applicant has attempted at re-arguing the case afresh which is beyond the scope and ambit of a review application. Accordingly, this review application is dismissed, by circulation.



( V. K. Majotra )  
Member (A)



( Ashok Agarwal )  
Chairman

/as/