



**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH**

OA No. 201/2016

New Delhi this the 27th day of February, 2020

Hon'ble Mrs. Vijay Lakshmi, Member (J)
Hon'ble Ms. Aradhana Johri, Member (A)

1. Anuj Kumar, Age 30 yrs,
S/o. Sh. Dharamvir,
R/o. Post Sikandepur,
Muzaffar Nagar – 251 306 (U.P.)
2. Rahul, Age 20 yrs,
S/o. Sh. Satyapal Singh
R/o. Vill & Post Norajpur Gurjar
Baghpat-250 601 (U.P)
3. Devika, Age 27 yrs,
D/o. Sh. Tejpal,
R/o. Vill & Post Rehmatpur
Jansath, Muzaffarnagar – 251308 (U.P)
4. Ankit Kumar, Age 23 yrs,
S/o. Sh. Satyapal Singh
R/o. Kayampur, Post Surja
District Baghpat (UP).
5. Vikas Kumar, Age 23 yrs,
S/o. Sh. Satyapal Singh
R/o. Vill & Post Norajpur Gurjar
Baghpat-250 601 (U.P)
6. Ajay Kumar, Age 28 yrs,
S/o. Sh. Sukhbir Singh,
R/o. Vill & Post Norajpur Gurjar
District Baghpat – 250 601 (UP).
7. Vishwa Kumar, Age 23 yrs,
S/o. Sh. Ashok Kumar,
R/o. Vill & Post Norajpur Gurjar
District Baghpat – 250 601 (UP).
8. Gaurav Kumar, Age 22 yrs,
S/o. Sh. Dharamvir Singh,
R/o. Vill & Post Norajpur Gurjar



Baghpat – 250 601 (UP).

9. Vipin Kumar, Age 27 yrs,
S/o. Sh. Shyam Singh,
R/o. Mohalla Tanda – Mazra
10, Budhana, Muzaffarnagar (U.P.)

...Applicant

(None)

Versus

1. Union of India
Through the Secretary,
Ministry of Communication & Information
Technology,
Department of Posts, Dak Bhawan,
Sansad Marg, New Delhi.
2. The Director General
Department of Posts (Recruitment Division)
Dak Bhawan, Sansad Marg, New Delhi.
3. Senior Superintendent
Department of Posts
Ministry of Communication of IT
City Division, Ahmedabad – 380 009.
4. Senior Superintendent
Department of Posts
Ministry of Communication of IT
Surendernagar Division
Surender Nagar – 363 002, Gujarat.
5. Senior Superintendent
Department of Posts
Ministry of Communication of IT
Gandhi Nagar Division
Gandhi Nagar – 382 010, Gujarat.
6. Senior Superintendent
Department of Posts
Ministry of Communication of IT
Rajkot Division
Rajkot – 360 001
Gujarat.

...Respondents

(None)

**O R D E R (ORAL)****Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Vijay Lakshmi, Member (J)**

No one is present from either side. We have perused the order sheets which shows that vide order dated 04.01.2016, the applicant was granted interim order by staying the impugned order till the next date of hearing. However, the applicant is clearly prolonging the matter and he has not even filed rejoinder till today whereas the O.A is pending since the year 2016. Today also he is absent.

2. In view of the above, the O.A is dismissed for want of prosecution. The interim order is accordingly vacated. No order as to costs.

(Aradhana Johri)
Member (A)

(Justice Vijay Lakshmi)
Member (J)

/Mbt/