

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE BENCH, BENGALURU**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOs.170/00480 TO 532/2019, 561 TO 580/2019, 786
TO 834, 836 TO 843/2019 AND OA.25 AND 26/2020**

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH, 2020

**HON'BLE DR.K.B.SURESH
HON'BLE SHRI C.V.SANKAR**

**...MEMBER(J)
...MEMBER(A)**

1.Mr. Robinson P.M,
S/o late Mathew P.V,
Aged about 42 years,
Nursing Sister ESIC PGIMSR
Model Hospital, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 20, Pipeline 'A' Cross,
JC, Nagar, Kurubarahalli,
Bengaluru-560 086.

...Applicant in OA.480/2019

2.Mrs. Archana HM,
W/o Dinesh Kumar MM,
Aged about 37 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 4/9,
12th Main, Shivanagar,
West of Chord Road,
Bengaluru-560 086.

...Applicant in OA.481/2019

3.Mrs. Indramma,
W/o Chandrappa,
Aged about 60 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at Sri Annapoorneshwari Nilaya
No. 12, Gurukula, Magadi Main Road,
Channenahalli, Kadabagere Post,
Bengaluru-562 130.

...Applicant in OA.482/2019

4.Mrs. Parimala,
W/o Ragavendra N,
Aged about 39 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &

Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No.3/2,
7th Cross, 2nd Main,
Shivanagar, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru-560 013.

...Applicant in OA.483/2019

5.Mrs. Veena E.V,
W/o Sreenivasareddy,
Aged about 38 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 61/1,
Gangadhareshwara Nilaya
Opp. KNE Colony,
Doddabommasandra,
Vidyaranyapura,
Bengaluru-560 097.

...Applicant in OA.484/2019

6.Mrs. J. Saraladevi
W/o B.M. Manjunath
Aged about 40 years
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC Medical College & Hospital,
Gulbarga,
Residing at No. 40, 1st main,
2nd Cross, Laxmisagar layout,
Mahadevapura,
Bengaluru 560 048.

...Applicant in OA.485/2019

7.Mrs. Shanthala M.B
W/o Baburao M Nandgaon,
Aged about 40 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 45/11/1,
10th Cross, 6th Block,
Rajajinagara,
Bengaluru-560 010.

...Applicant in OA.486/2019

8.Mrs. Savithramma
W/o B M Prabhushankar,
Aged about 59 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 33,

10th Main Shivanagar,
Bengaluru-560 010.

...Applicant in OA.487/2019

9.Mrs. Shobharani C
W/o Shivakumar J,
Aged about 41 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 618, 3rd Phase
3rd Cross, Judges Colony,
Basaveshwaranagar,
Bengaluru-560 086.

...Applicant in OA.488/2019

10.Mr. Sudhakar G
S/o Gopalreddy,
Aged about 40 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 379/14,
6th Cross, Laxminarayanapura,
Bengaluru-560 021.

...Applicant in OA.489/2019

11.Mrs. Radha H Naik
W/o Sadhananda Naik,
Aged about 44 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at C/o C. Nagaraj,
No. 21, Kempaiah Building
Shivanagara,
Bengaluru-560 010.

...Applicant in OA.490/2019

12.Mrs. Sumathi N
W/o AD Arunkumar,
Aged about 41 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 32, 3rd Floor
2nd Cross, Near Maramma Temple
Jooganahalli, Rajajinagar 2nd Stage,
Bengaluru-560 010.

...Applicant in OA.491/2019

13.Mrs. Veena V

W/o Manjunath B.R,
Aged about 41 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 23/2,
ESIC Quarter, 5th Cross,
Jai Maruthi Circle,
Nandhini Layout,
Bengaluru-560 096.

...Applicant in OA.492/2019

14.Mrs. Uma Maheshwari M.R
W/o Kumar T.S,
Aged about 38 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 43,
12th Main Nandi Nilaya,
Nandini Layout,
Bengaluru-560 096.

...Applicant in OA.493/2019

15.Mrs. Manjulamma S
W/o Shankarappa,
Aged about 44 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 206,
8th Cross, Thirumala Street
Ramamurthy Nagar,
Bengaluru-560 016.

...Applicant in OA.494/2019

16.Mrs. Rosely K.V
W/o Jose K.C,
Aged about 47 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 17,
1st Floor, 1st Cross,
Srinivas Reddy Layout,
Behind Canara Bank,
Bengaluru-560 063.

...Applicant in OA.495/2019

17.Mrs. S. Mahesh
S/o late T. Siddappa,
Aged about 40 years,

Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 108/3,
5th Cross, 16th Main Road,
J.C. Nagar, Kurubarahalli,
Mahalaxmipuram Post,
Bengaluru-560 086.

...Applicant in OA.496/2019

18.Mrs. Savitha B.G
W/o Arokya Raj.D,
Aged about 40 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 152,
1st Main, 1st Cross,
Swathantranagar,
Virgo Nagar Post,
Bengaluru-560 049.

...Applicant in OA.497/2019

19.Mrs. Bharathi B.M
W/o Md. Saleem M.K,
Aged about 39 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 871,
42nd Cross, 15th Main,
3rd Block, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru-560 010.

...Applicant in OA.498/2019

20.Mrs. Tajunnesa
W/o Abdul Subhan,
Aged about 40 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 6
12th Main, 16th B Cross,
HBR Layout, 1st Stage, 5th Block,
Bengaluru-560 043.

...Applicant in OA.499/2019

21.Mrs. Manjula M.P
W/o Gundappa,
Aged about 40 years,
Nursing Sister

ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No.10/ 3,
3rd Floor, Siddeshwara Nilaya,
34th B Cross, Juganahalli,
2nd Block, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru-560 010.

...Applicant in OA.500/2019

22.Mrs. K. Umamani
W/o M.K. Shankar,
Aged about 56 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No.74/C,
8th Main, Shivanagar,
Bengaluru-560 010.

...Applicant in OA.501/2019

23.Mrs. B. Suguna
W/o Late Muniyappa,
Aged about 57 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 917/A
7th Main Road,
Raghavendra Block,
Rajajinagar 2nd Stage,
Bengaluru-560 010.

...Applicant in OA.502/2019

24.Mrs.MumthazBegam M Kagadal
W/o Riyaz Ahmed
Aged about 42 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.24,
Behind Tippu Convent,
1st Cross, Jayanagar,
Someshwar Nagar,
Bengaluru .

..Applicant in OA.503/2019.

25.Mrs.Vani B.M
W/o S Mahesh
Aged about 40 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.108/3,

5th Cross, 16th Main Road,
JC Nagar, Kurubarahalli
Mahalaxmipuram post
Bengaluru 560 086.

...Applicant in OA.504/2019.

26.Mrs.Jancy K. J
W/o Biji Thomas
Aged about 42 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.210/1,
6th Cross, 1st Main Road,
Manjunathnagar,
Bengaluru 560 010.

...Applicant in OA.505/2019.

27.Mrs.Shashikala A
W/o Dwaresh R
Aged about 42 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.125
3rd Main, 5th Cross,
5th Phase, Mahaganapathi Nagar,
Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 044 .

..Applicant in OA.506/2019.

28.Mrs.Chandravathi BS
W/o Naveen Kumar K
Aged about 43 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.38/3
13th Main, 4th Block,
Nandini Layout,
Bengaluru 560 096 .

..Applicant in OA.507/2019.

29.Mrs.Shobha P
W/o Manjunatha KS
Aged about 40 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.23
8th Cross, 10th Main Road,
Shivanagara,Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 023 .

..Applicant in OA.508/2019.

30.Mr.Siddaramaiah

S/o Venkatarangaiah
Aged about 42 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at Hosapallya Village
Chamarajasagara Post,
Magadi Via,
Bengaluru 562120 .

..Applicant in OA.509/2019.

31.Mrs.Kavitha LB
W/o Shiva KumarNaik S
Aged about 38 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.35/3
ESIC Quarter, Nandini Layout,
Bengaluru 560 096 .

..Applicant in OA.510/2019.

32.Mrs.Muthamma T
W/o Manjunatha S
Aged about 44 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Gulbarga.
Residing at No.220
8th Cross, Near Goutham College
Manjunath Nagar, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010.

...Applicant in OA.511/2019.

33.Mrs.B.G.Majula
W/o KN.Nataraj
Aged about 38 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru 560 010.
Residing at No.156
5th Main, 1st Phase,
1st Stage ,Manjunathanagar,
Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 063.

...Applicant in OA.512/2019.

34.Mrs.Poornima. R
W/o Vasanthakumar Kr
Aged about 40years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru 560 010.
Residing at No.1709
Beside ICIC Bank
Prakashnagar, Rajkumar Road

Bengaluru 560 021.Applicant in OA.513/2019.

35.Mr.Krishna KS
S/o Honnurappa KS
Aged about 38 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.43A
10th Cross, 1st Main,
Prakashnagar,
Bengaluru 560 021Applicant in OA.514/2019.

36.Mrs.Annapuroonamma
W/o Karigowda BK
Aged about 59 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.51,
10th A Cross, 1st Main,
Prashanthnagar,
Bengaluru 560 079Applicant n OA.515/2019.

37.Mrs.Chethana G Hegde
W/o Dr.B.Mohanraj
Aged about 38 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.15/1,
MP.Street, S.C.Garden,
Bengaluru 560 042.Applicant in OA.516/2019.

38.Mrs.Sinthu
W/o Ramesh DC
Aged about 41 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.540
3rd floor, 9th Main,
2nd block, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010Applicant in OA.517/2019.

39.Mrs.Laxmibai Doddappa Agasar
W/o Sathish N
Aged about 44 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at DC Bangalow,

Ambedkar Circle, Station Road
Bijapur 586 101 .

..Applicant in OA.518/2019.

40.Mr.Baburao M Nandgaon
S/o Mahalingappa Nandgaon
Aged about 41 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.45/11/1
10th Cross,6th block,
Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010 .

..Applicant in OA.519/2019.

41.Mr.Nagaraja G.B
S/o Basavalingaiah
Aged about 39 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at Godekere at post
Chikkanayakanahalli TQ,
Tumkur Dist. 572214 .

..Applicant in OA.520/2019.

42.Mrs.Komala GR
W/o Lokesh BN
Aged about 39 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.12/B,
Ganganilaya,8th Main,
4th Block, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010.

..Applicant in OA.521/2019.

43.Mrs.Nirmala. L
W/o Palakshappa
Aged about 40 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.4
Muddaiah Compound,
2nd Main, 2nd Cross,
Shivanagar, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010.

..Applicant in OA.522/2019.

44.Mrs.Pushpa.S
W/o Sadashiva
Aged about 38 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent

ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.619/T

36th Cross, 12th Main,
Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010.

..Applicant in OA.523/2019.

45.Mrs.Chandrakala HM
W/o Malleshappa
Aged about 40 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.9,5th A Main,
Obalappa Garden,
Tata Silk Form, KR Road,
Bengaluru 560 070.

..Applicant in OA.524/2019.

46.Mr.B.M.Manjunatha
S/o B. Muniswamy
Aged about 39 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC Medical College & Hospital,
Gulbarga
Residing at No.40, 1st Main,
2nd Cross, Laxmisagar Layout,
Mahadevapura
Bengaluru 560 048.

..Applicant in OA.525/2019.

47.Mrs.Manjula. S
W/o Narasimhamurthy. G
Aged about 40 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC Medical College & Hospital,
Gulbarga
Residing at No.619/T
Muddaiah Compound,
36th Cross, 12th Main,
Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010.

..Applicant in OA.526/2019.

48.Mr.Manjunatha K.S
S/o Honnurappa K.S
Aged about 41 years,
Assistant Nursing Superintendent
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.23
8th Cross, 10th Main Road
Shivanagar, Rajajinagar,

Bengaluru 560 010.Applicant in OA.527/2019.

49.Mrs Harini Kumari KS
D/o KT Subbaiah
Aged about 42 years
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR&
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560010
Residing at No.151,
4th Main, 1st Cross, Industrial town,
Bengaluru 560079.Applicant in OA.528/2019.

50.MrsNagarathana G Naik
W/o Ganapathi Naik
Aged about 40 years
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR&
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560010
Residing at No.174,
3rd Cross, 1st Main
Manjunathanagar, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560010.Applicant in OA.529/2019.

51.MrsSandha K Naik
W/o Vinod M Naik
Aged about 44 years
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR&
Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560010
Residing at No 120,
6th Cross Vidhyaranyapura
Tollgate Vijayanagara,
Bengaluru 560023Applicant in OA.530/2019.

52.MrsSavitha R
W/o Ramegowda GP
Aged about 42 years
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560010
Residing at No.169

3rd Cross, Jooganahalli,
Rajajinagar 2nd Stage
Bengaluru 560010

..Applicant in OA.531/2019.

53.Mrs B Shivaleela
W/o Yogesh
Aged about 42 years,
Nursing Sister
ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560010
Residing at No.8/9,
Srinidhi Layout, Kadabagere VIA,
Janapriya Township,
Bengaluru

..Applicant in OA.532/2019.

54.Mrs Shashikala H Hulamani
W/o R Muniswamy
Aged about 65 years
Retired Nursing Sister
Residing at No.133, 3rd Main,
ITI Layout
Bengaluru 560056

..Applicant in OA.561/2019.

55.Mrs V Padma
W/o B C Sriramalu
Aged about 63 years
Residing at no.40/1-1, 80th
Feet JP Road Girinagara,
2nd phase, BSK 3rd Stage
Bengaluru 560058

..Applicant in OA.562/2019.

56.Mrs Thippelingama
W/o Late Mahadeva S
Aged about 62 years
Residing at No.221/EF,
7th Cross Manjunathanagar
Rajajinagar
Bengaluru 560010

..Applicant in OA.563/2019.

57.Mrs K Bhagyamma
W/o Thimmegowda
Aged about 65 years
Retired Nursing Sister
Residing at No.57, 6th Cross,

JC Nagar, Behind SN Layout
Mahalaxmipuram,
Bengaluru 560086

..Applicant in OA.564/2019.

58.Mrs S Lily Grace
W/o B Rangappa
Aged about 68 years
Residing at No.17/29, 1st Main,
9th Cross Byraweshwara Nagar,
Laggere, Bengaluru 560058

..Applicant in OA.565/2019.

59.Mrs A Mary Josephine
D/o Late A. Arulandu
Aged about 62 years
Residing at No.81/13,
12th 'C' Main, Shivanagar
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560010

..Applicant in OA.566/2019.

60.Mrs Panneer Selvi R
W/o Perumal
Aged about 64 years
Residing at No.249/B,
2nd Block, 40th Cross,
Rajajinagar
Bengaluru 560010

..Applicant in OA.567/2019.

61.Mrs Rose Victoria
W/o Aaron Susainathan
Aged about 66 years
Retired at no.148,
Annawar Layout,
3rd Cross, Arutric College post
Bengaluru 560045

..Applicant in OA.568/2019.

62.Mrs Sarala S Rajoli
W/o Late, Sangamesh
Aged about 66 years
Retired Nursing Sister
Residing at No.921, 17th Main,
3rd block Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560010

..Applicant in OA.569/2019.

63.Mrs Indiramma
C/o Srinath
Aged about 67 years
Retired Nursing Sister

Residing at No.118, New No 18,
3rd Floor 5th Cross, 7th Main,
L.N.Pura, Bengaluru 560021

..Applicant in OA.570/2019.

64.Mrs Mercy Monica Mendoce
W/o V J Mendonce
Aged about 68 years
Retired Nursing Sister
Residing at No.118, 1st Block,
4th Cross, Vishwapriya Layout,
Begur Road Bommanahalli,
Bengaluru 560010

..Applicant in OA.571/2019.

65.Mrs Yamuna L Bhat
W/o NarayanaBhat
Aged about 62 years
Residing at No.1/B,
Pramath Nilaya, 5th Block,
D B Sandra, Vidhyaranyapura,
Bengaluru 560097

..Applicant in OA.572/2019.

66.Mrs Jayalakshmamma
W/o Nagaraju
Aged about 67 years
Retired Nursing Sister
Residing at No.93, 1st Cross
2nd Main Road Telecom Layout,
Bengaluru 560091

..Applicant in OA.573/2019.

67.Mrs Sudha Narayan
W/o late B Manappa
Aged about 65 years
Retired Nursing Sister
Residing at No.19, 2nd Main
5th Cross, Shivanagar
Bengaluru 560010.

..Applicant in OA.574/2019.

68.Mrs Jayalakshmi V
D/o K Rajendra Kumar
Aged about 62 years
Residing at No.67, 1st Cross,
Janakiram Layout
Arabic College Post,
Govindhapura
Bengaluru 560045

..Applicant in OA.575/2019.

69.Mrs R Shobitham,
Aged about 68 years
Residing at No.177/D,
Kamalamma Street,
Sree Meenakshamman Nagar,
Guditham, Vellore dist. 632602 ..Applicant in OA.576/2019.

70.Mrs Padmavathi Hegde
W/o H K K Bhat
Aged about 61 years
Residing at No.36,
Vinayaka Layout
Nayandhalli
Mysore Road
Bengaluru 560039 ..Applicant in OA.577/2019.

71.Mrs B Mohini
W/o L Parashuram
Aged about 68 years
Retired Nursing Sister
Residing at No.48, MP Layout,
SantrupthiNilaya, Chikkasandra,
Sapthagiri Engineering College Road
HG Main Road,
Bengaluru 560057 ..Applicant in OA.578/2019.

72.M S Noorjahan
D/o Late, Syed Saleha
Aged about 65 years
Retired Nursing Sister
Residing at No.17/1-1, 2nd Cross,
3rd Main, A K Ashram, Mattathahalli,
RT Nagar, Bengaluru 560032 ..Applicant in OA.579/2019.

73.MrsPuttamadamma
W/o Padmanabha
Aged about 64 years
Residing at No.37, 1st Main road
5th Cross Byraweshwaranagara, Laggere
Bengaluru 560058 ..Applicant in OA.580/2019.

(By M/s SubbaRao&Co.. Advocate)

Vs.

1. The Union of India,
Represented by its Secretary.
Department of Labour& Employment,
Ministry of Labour,
No.110, Shrama Shakthi Bhavan,
Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110 001.
2. The Employees State Insurance Corporation,
Represented by its Director General,
Panchadeep Bhavan,
C.I.G. Marg, New Delhi-110 002.
3. The Director,
Directorate of ESIC Scheme
(Medical) Services,
Rajaninagar, II Block,
Bangalore-560 010. Respondents

(By Standing Counsel Shri N. Amaresh for Respondents 1 & 2)

74. Mr. M. Manju
S/o Muniyappa
Aged about 55 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.14/6, 2nd Block,
34th Cross,
Juganahalli, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru-560010 Applicant in OA.786/2019

75. Mr. M. Nagaraj
S/o Dhobhi Marappa
Aged about 52 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.186, 1st Cross
8th Main, Ganesh Block,
Mahalakshmi Layout,
Bengaluru-560096 Applicant in OA.787/2019

76. Mrs. Shobha Bai
D/o Late Shankar Rao
Aged about 58 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital

Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.2903, 14th Main Road,
Attiguppe, RPC Layout,
Bengaluru-560010

...Applicant in OA.788/2019

77.Mrs. K. Malliga
D/o A. Kandhaswamy
Aged about 64 years
Pharmacist ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.14, 3rd H Main
13th Cross, Cholarpalya,
Bengaluru-560023

...Applicant in OA.789/2019

78.Mrs.BS Jayamma
D/o Shivalingaiah
Aged about 63 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.363, 5th Main Road,
Manjunatha Nagar,
Bengaluru-560010

...Applicant in OA.790/2019

79.Mrs.Sujatha
D/o S. Narayanappa
Aged about 55 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.113, 2nd Main Road,
2nd Cross Shivanagar,
Bengaluru-560010

...Applicant in OA.791/2019

80.Mr.Raghunath
S/o Annayappa
Aged about 64 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.2603, 3rd Main,
'E' Block, Rajajinagar 2nd Stage
Bengaluru-560010

...Applicant in OA.792/2019

81.Mr.KJ.Jagadhish
S/o K.Javare Gowda
Aged about 65 years,
Pharmacist
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at #1107

5th Block, 18th B Main
Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010.

..Applicant in OA.793/2019.

82. Mr.Krishnamurthy Rao R
S/o R Rangoji Rao
Aged about 66 years,
OT Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.27,
2nd Main Road, 2nd Cross,
Pattegar Palya, Vijayanagar,
Bengaluru 560 072

.....Applicant in OA.794/2019.

83. Mrs.K.M. Mahadevamma
D/o Madaiah
Aged about 63 years,
CST Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.220,1st Main,
2nd Stage, Manjunath Nagara,
Bengaluru 560 010.

..Applicant in OA.795/2019.

84. Mr.S.Venkatesh
S/o Late Gopal
Aged about 59 years,
Lab Technician
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.156/F
'KANASA' SG, ENCLAVE
2nd Cross, RR Nagar,
Bengaluru 560 098.

..Applicant in OA.796/2019.

85. Mr.S.Shankar
S/o Narayan Rao S
Aged about 48 years,
CSR Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.513,7th Main
4th Cross, Hanumanth Nagar,
Bengaluru 560 019.

..Applicant in OA.797/2019.

86. Mr.AbdulRavoof Khan
S/o Mohammed Usman Khan
Aged about 62 years,
Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.

Residing at Small Masjid
Stree for Sarjapura Post,
Anekal Taluk
Bengaluru 562125.

..Applicant in OA.798/2019.

87.Mr.S.Gangadhar
S/o Late Siddalingappa
Aged about 59 years,
Lab Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.6,
2nd Main, 2nd Cross,
Shivanagar, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru 560 010

..Applicant in OA.799/2019.

88.Mrs.Shymala Devi
D/o Bhupal Singh
Aged about 64 years,
OT Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.1625, 7th Main Road,
Kengeri Uppanagara
Bengaluru 560 060

..Applicant in OA.800/2019.

89.Mrs.Vanajakshi
D/o Venkataramanna
Aged about 47 years,
Lab Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at #LF 40/1
ESIC Staff Quarters
Nandini Layout
Bengaluru 560 097

...Applicant in OA.801/2019 .

90.Mr.G.Hanumantharayappa,
S/o Gali Hanmanthaiah
Aged about 61 years,
Ambulance Driver
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at #35, 5th Cross,
Srinidhi Layout
Phase 1, Magadi Main Road
Kadabagere
Bengaluru 562130

..Applicant in OA.802/2019.

91.Mr.S.Rajendra
S/o Late Shiva swamy
Aged about 51 years,

OT Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.83,
1st Main Road, 2nd Cross,
Chowdeshwari Layout
Devanjana Palya, Kithanahalli
Bengaluru 560 091

..Applicant in OA.803/2019.

92.Mrs.D.Jayalakshmi
D/o Doddaiyah
Aged about 59 years,
Lab Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No. #119
2nd Cross, 4th Main Road,
D Group, Lingadheeranahalli
Herohalli, Bengaluru 560 014

..Applicant in OA.804/2019.

93.Mrs.Bhagya Sannaiah
D/o Sannaiah
Aged about 55 years,
OT Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.110, 3rd Main ,
1st Stage, 6th Phase,
Mahaganapathi Nagar,
Bengaluru 560 044

..Applicant in OA.805/2019.

94.Mrs.Kiliya.N
D/o Narayanaswamy
Aged about 50 years,
CSR Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.302
1st Main , 1st Stage
Kuvempu Nagar, Jalahalli East
Near Gandhi Statue
Bengaluru 560 014

..Applicant in OA.806/2019.

95.Mrs.Padmabai
D/o Narayan Rao Mane
Aged about 67 years,
Literate Attender,
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.39
3rd Cross, Shivanagara,
Bengaluru 560 010

..Applicant in OA.807/2019.

96.Mr.MS.Jayarama
S/o MB Siddaiah
Aged about 61 years,
OT Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.27,2nd Cross
Pattegara Palya, Vijayanagar,
Bengaluru 560 079 ..Applicant in OA.808/2019.

97.Mrs.Varamahalakshmamma
D/o Doddarangaiah
Aged about 63 years,
Lab Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at #320, 2nd Cross,
Ramakrishnahegde Nagar
Sabarinagar New Extn
Bengaluru 560 049 ..Applicant in OA.809/2019.

98.Mr.C.Lakkana,
S/o Channaiah
Aged about 63 years,
MTS, ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.89,2nd Cross
1st Main ,Gyanabharathi Layout
Mariyappa Palya
Bengaluru 560 056 ..Applicant in OA.810/2019.

99.Mr.SamiullaBaig
S/o Kareem Baig
Aged about 63 years,
OTS Assistant , ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital, Rajajinagar,
Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at 9.3/125/116,MD Lines
Shahatam Nagar, Gokulnanda post
Hyderabad
Telangana : 500 008 ..Applicant in OA.811/2019.

100.Mrs.Kempamma
D/o Rangaiah
Aged about 62 years,
CSR Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at #2,11th Cross,
Hoysalanagara, Sunkadakatte
Bengaluru 560 091 ..Applicant in OA.812/2019.

101.Mr.H.T.Ramesh
S/o Thimmegowda
Aged about 59 years,
CSR Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.75,5th Cross,
11th Main Road, Ragjavendra Layout
Kamagundanahalli,
Bengaluru 560 015

..Applicant in OA.813/2019.

102.Mrs.Gangalakshmamma
D/o Dodaiah
Aged about 63 years,
CSR Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at #10, 3rd Cross,
Laksmananagara
Hegganahalli, Peenya
Bengaluru 560 060

..Applicant in OA.814/2019.

103.Mrs.Krishnaveni M
D/o Muniswamy
Aged about 64 years,
OT Assistant
ESIC PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru- 560 010.
Residing at No.220
1st Phase, 2nd Stage
8th Cross, Manjunath Nagar,
Bengaluru 560 010.

..Applicant in OA.815/2019.

104.Mrs.Chikkamariyamma
D/o
Aged about 61 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital
Rajajinagar
Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.
Bengaluru-5600

...Applicant in OA.816/2019

105.Mr. Narasimha Murthy HC
S/o Channa Hanumaiah
Aged about 59 years
Pharmacist ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560 010
Residing at Survey No. 41/1,

Tavarekere, Hobli,
Bangalore South Taluk
Bengaluru 562 130.

..Applicant in OA.817/2019.

106.Mr. Krishnamurthy
S/o Late Munivenkatappa
Aged about 64 years
UDC ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560 010
Residing at No. 55,
1st Main A Road,
Behind Muthyalamma Temple,
Doopanahalli HAL II stage,
Indiranagar,
Bengaluru 560 008.

..Applicant in OA.818/2019.

107.MrFayaz Ahmed
S/o Shek Mohadudin
Aged about 66 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560 010
Residing at #1483,
4th Cross, Govndaraja Nagar,
Corporation Colony,
Bengaluru 562 130

..Applicant in OA.819/2019.

108.Mr Sridhar B
S/o Koragappa
Aged about 57 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru 560 010
Residing at #34/3,
Doddabidarakallu,
Gangamahimaiah Layout,
Nagasandra post,
Bengaluru 560 073

..Applicant in OA.820/2019.

109.MrsUsha M
D/o Late Madanayaka
Aged about 39 years
Assistant ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital, Rajajinagar
Bengaluru 560 010
Residing at #13/3, 1st Main 'B' street,
Kuvempu Nagar, Hosaguddadahalli,
Bengaluru 560 026

..Applicant in OA.821/2019.

110.Mr.Gangaraju
S/o. Ramaiah
Aged about 48 years
CSST Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at #36, 2nd Main
4th Cross, Hosalli, Vijayanagara
Bengaluru-560040.

...Applicant in OA.822/2019

111.Mr.Suresh R Rathod
S/o. Ramu
Aged about 50 years
Radiographer ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.157, 1st Main
Manjunatha Nagar
Bengaluru-560010.

...Applicant in OA.823/2019

112.Mr.Shivalingaiah
S/o. Byrappa
Aged about 61 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.22, 2nd Cross
2nd Main Road
VS Garden, JJR Nagar
Bengaluru-560018.

...Applicant in OA.824/2019

113.Mrs.Ningu
D/o. Ningaiah
Aged about 60 years
CSR Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.149/2, 2nd Main
2nd Block, Juganahalli
Rajajinagar
Bengaluru-560010.

...Applicant in OA.825/2019

114.Mrs.Udayavani
D/o. NS Kenga Ramaiah
Aged about 66 years
Dietician ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.56, Krishnappa Layout
60th Feet Road, RMV II
Sanjayanagara, Bengaluru-560094.

...Applicant in OA.826/2019

115.Mr.Srikantha B.Demashetty
S/o. Basappa
Aged about 53 years
Radiographer ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at Block LF 45
Door No.10, ESIC Quarters
Nandini Layout
Bengaluru-560096.

...Applicant in OA.827/2019

116.Mrs.Bhagya. K
D/o. Kariyappa
Aged about 52 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar,Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.53/1, 6th Main
3rd Phase, Manjunathanagar
Rajajinagar
Bengaluru-560010.

...Applicant in OA.828/2019

117.Mr.GSampath
S/o. Govindappa
Aged about 51 years
MTS ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.139, KHB Quarters
Muddappa Garden
Coxtown, Jeevanahaali
Bengaluru-560005.

...Applicant in OA.829/2019

118.Mrs.Kamalavathi
D/o. Durgappa
Aged about 64 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.55A, 2nd Main Road
Pattegarpalya, Kamakshipalya
Bengaluru-560049.

...Applicant in OA.830/2019

119.Mr.Srinivas V
S/o. Venkataramanappa
Aged about 58 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at LF37/1, ESIC Staff Quarters
Nandini Layout
Bengaluru-560096.

...Applicant in OA.831/2019

120.Mr.Venkatappa R
S/o. Ramaiah
Aged about 65 years
Lab Technician ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at A37/1
KPWD Quarters
Jeevan Bhimanagar
Bengaluru-560078.Applicant in OA.832/2019

121.Mrs.B T Shobha
D/o. Late Thimmaiah
Aged about 61 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.207, 7th Cross
3rd Main Road, Manjunathnagar
Bengaluru-560049.Applicant in OA.833/2019

122.Mr.D.Marijan
S/o. David
Aged about 59 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at #754,26th Cross
NR Nagar, Vivekanagar
Bengaluru-560047.Applicant in OA.834/2019

123.Mrs.P.Kavitha
D/o. Tonnurangam
Aged about 45 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at LF 37/7
ESIC Staff Quarters, Nandini Layout
Bengaluru-560097.Applicant in OA.836/2019

124.Mr.Pandurangan
S/o. Govindaswamy Naidu
Aged about 65 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.180, 1st Main Road
1st Cross, Kempegowda Nagar
Laggere, Bengaluru North
Peenya Small Industries
Bengaluru-560058.Applicant in OA.837/2019

125.Mrs.Leelavathy M
D/o. Muniswamy
Aged about 61 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.67, 4th Cross
AYR Layout, Shettahalli
Jalahalli Cross
Bengaluru-560015.Applicant in OA.838/2019

126.Mr. R.Muniraju
S/o. Rangaiah
Aged about 58 years
Lab Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.293, Dasanpura, Hobali
Huskar Road, Unibic Biscuit Factory Backside
Bengaluru-562123.Applicant in OA.839/2019

127.Mrs.Yashodha. C
D/o. Late Channappa
Aged about 48 years
OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.3757, 8th Cross
2nd Main Gayatri Nagara
Bengaluru-560021.Applicant in OA.840/2019

128.Mr.SyedNazerAhamed
S/o. Late Syed Mohamed Ali
Aged about 65 years
Lab Technician ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at No.17/2, 1st Cross
Gangappa Block, Ganganagar
Bengaluru-560032.Applicant in OA.841/2019

129.Mrs.Manjula
D/o. Late Siddegowda
Aged about 51 years
CSST ESIC PGIMSR
& Model Hospital
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
Residing at #135/4, 2nd Main
7th Cross, Govindaraj Nagar
Bengaluru-560040.Applicant in OA.842/2019

130.Mrs.Jayamma. T
 D/o. Late Ramaiah
 Aged about 55 years
 OT Assistant ESIC PGIMSR
 & Model Hospital
 Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560010
 Residing at #360, 1st Main, 1st Cross,
 Manjunatha Nagar, Rajajinagar
 Bengaluru-560010.

...Applicant in OA.843/2019

(By Advocate M/s Subbarao& Co.)

Vs.

1.The Union of India,
 Represented by its Secretary,
 Department of Labour and Employment,
 Ministry of Labour
 No.110,ShramShakthiBhavan,
 Rafi Marg, New Delhi 110 001.

2.The Employees State Insurance Corporation,
 Represented by its Director General,
 Panchadeep Bhavan, CIG Marg,
 New Delhi – 110 002

3.The Dean,
 ESIC Medical College
 PGIMSR & Model Hospital,
 Corporation, Rajajinagar II Block,
 Bengaluru-560 010.Respondents

(By Shri N.Amaresh, Senior Panel Counsel for R1&R2)

131.Sri S. Rajanna
 S/o Late Siddaveerappa,
 Aged about 63 years,
 Retired as UDC
 ESIC PGIMSR &
 Model Hospital,
 Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560 010
 Residing at No. 2/2, 4th Main Road,
 3rd Phase, Near Carmal Collage,
 Basaveswara Nagara,
 Bengaluru-560 079.

...Applicant in OA.25/2020

132.Sri. Murthy
 S/o Munivenkatappa,
 Aged about 68 years,
 Working as Nursing orderly

ESIC PGIMSR &
Model Hospital,
Rajajinagar, Bengaluru-560 010
Residing at No. 22, 2nd Cross,
Cheluvappa Garden,
Kempapura Agrahara,
Magadi Road,
Bengaluru-560 023.

...Applicant in OA.26/2020

(By Advocate Shri M. Rajakumar)

Vs.

2. The Union of India,
Represented by its Secretary.
Department of Labour & Employment,
Ministry of Labour,
No.110, Shrama Shakthi Bhavan,
Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110 001.
2. The Employees State Insurance Corporation,
Represented by its Director General,
Panchadeep Bhavan,
C.I.G. Marg, New Delhi-110 002.

3. The Director,
Directorate of ESIC Scheme
(Medical) Services,
Rajaninagar, II Block,
Bangalore-560 010. Respondents

(By Standing Counsel Shri N. Amaresh for Respondents)

ORDER (ORAL)

HON'BLE DR.K.B.SURESH **...MEMBER(J)**

Since all these matters are on a common pedestal, by common consent, OA.No.480.2019 is designated as the leading case. This matter relates to retrospective absorption in the respondents Corporation and effect thereof. In its entirety the matter seems to be covered by our order in OA.Nos. 809-831/2013 dated 16.10.2015, which we quote:

“ORDER
HON'BLE SHRI RUDHRA GANGADHARAN **....MEMBER (A)**

We have heard twenty three applications (from OA No. 809/2013 to OA No. 831/2013) as a batch since the cause of action and the reliefs

prayed for are common. The applicants are all employees of the Employees State Insurance Corporation Model Hospital (ESIC MH), Rajajinagar, Bengaluru, who are aggrieved by the decision of the ESIC Headquarters, New Delhi, to count their date of absorption in the ESIC with effect from 1.1.2006 rather than from 1.4.2003.

2. After filing the OA the applicants filed certain documents on 7.4.2015 as well as a written submission dated 15.9.2015. The substance of all these pleadings is summarized below. The applicants submit they were initially appointed in ESI Hospital, Rajajinagar, coming under the Director, Employees State Insurance Scheme, [ESIS (M)] of the Government of Karnataka (GOK). In line with a policy decision the GOK (4th respondent) issued an order dated 30.1.2003 (Annexure A1) to convert the said hospital into a Model Hospital to be transferred to the ESIC, New Delhi, together with all infrastructure, inventory and equipment, with effect from 1.4.2003. The said order stipulated that the staff currently working there "... are transferred to the ESIC on deputation basis for an initial period of 3 years with option of absorption. The terms and conditions of deputation will be intimated later." It was evidently anticipated that all formalities in respect of absorbing these personnel in the ESIC would be completed within the said period. The draft terms and conditions of absorption were communicated by the GOK to the Director, ESIS (M), in a letter dated 30.06.2005 (Annexure A2) with an instruction to obtain the views of the employees association. This letter states that the draft terms and conditions were part of a letter dated 3.6.2005 sent by the ESIC, New Delhi (Annexure A4). However the letter actually appended to Annexure A2 is dated 9.10.2003 and paragraph 3 of the said draft is different from the one appended to the letter dated 9.10.2003. In the written submission the applicants say that they did not accept the terms and conditions in the draft attached to the letter dated 9.10.2003 and that Annexure A4 was issued thereafter. Paragraphs 1 to 3 of the draft attached to Annexure A4 are reproduced below:

1) Option may be exercised by each employee for absorption in Corporation service or for repatriation to the State Govt. service. This option may be exercised by each employee who has a minimum of 2 years' service left in the lending Department as on 01.01.2006.

2) Mere exercising of option for absorption in Corporation service shall not confer any right on any employee to claim absorption and the decision of the Corporation in the matter would be final based on consideration of due screening.

3) An employee option for absorption has to resign from State Govt. service and his absorption in Corporation service will take effect from the date of deputation in ESI Corporation or from the date he joins the duty in the ESI Corporation whichever is earlier (emphasis added).

3. In a letter dated 1.8.2005 (Annexure A5), the Karnataka Employees State Insurance Model Hospital Welfare Association conveyed its views in

respect of the terms and conditions, and accepted paragraphs 1 and 3 while opining in respect of paragraph 2 that all employees interested in such absorption should be considered. In a letter dated 8.9.2008 (Annexure A11)the ESIC MH, Rajajinagar, directed the employees to submit their options by 19.9.2008 positively. Thereafter in an order dated 30.8.2009 (Annexure A12) the GOK accorded sanction for absorbing the services of 179 medical officers and other staff of the ESIC (M) Services Department into the ESIC and directed such employees to submit their technical resignation to the GOK. Since this order was silent on the date of absorption, the following query was raised in a letter dated 20.10.2009 by Secretary, Labour Department, GOK (Annexure A13):

..... In the ordinary course, the absorption would take effect from the date of the Govt. Order. Therefore, I am directed to request you to issue clarification as to whether the absorbed Medical officers/employees have been absorbed in the corporation with effect from 1/1/2006? If so, whether the technical resignation submitted by them can be accepted by the Govt. Of Karnataka with effect from 31/12/2005.

In response the Joint Director, ESIC Model MH, Rajajinagar, stated that the absorption would be effective from 1.1.2006 and the technical resignations tendered by the staff may be accepted with effect from 31.12.2005 AN (Annexure A14). The ESI Corporation would therefore bear the pay and allowances of the officers and staff absorbed from 1.1.2006. The formalities related to finalizing the absorption took more time than anticipated and there is substantial amount of correspondence between the State Government and its agency and the ESIC New Delhi on this matter.

5. The ESIC, New Delhi, then issued two orders dated 11.2.2010 (Annexure A15) and 7.7.2010 (Annexure A16) formally absorbing a total number of 57 personnel into the ESIC with effect from 1.1.2006. This date did not suit the applicants who submitted their representations to the second respondent (ESIC HQ). In an order dated 16.6.2011 (Annexure A7) the ESIC HQ constituted a cell to visit the respective hospitals and settle pending issues in respect of absorption as well as other matters. The cell was expected to complete its work by November, 2011. The applicants submit that they are not aware of what the committee has done so far. They have produced a copy of a letter dated 14/17.10.2013 from the ESIC in answer to an RTI query which states that "no report was submitted".

6. The employees association of the ESIC MH submitted representations (Annexure A19 and A20) pressing their case for absorption from 1.4.2003 onward. They submit that the staff of the Asramam Hospital, Kollam, Kerala, were absorbed into the ESIC with effect from the date of their deputation to the ESIC. The ESI Hospital, Rajajinagar, was handed over to the ESIC on 1.4.2003. The staff were placed on deputation to ESIC with effect from the very same date. The applicants had no option at that time but to go on deputation to ESIC. However the terms and conditions in the annexure to the ESIC's letter dated 3.6.2005 (Annexure A4) clearly state that the seniority of an

employee absorbed in the Corporation will be determined either from the date of deputation or from the date he joins duty in the ESIC, whichever is earlier. Hence there was a legitimate expectation that the date of absorption would count from 1.4.2003. In Annexure A20 the applicants have pointed out that in a letter no.A-37/18/1/2003-DM (Hqrs) dated 4.9.2006, the ESIC asked to obtain option for absorption to ESIC from those working on deputation with effect from 1.1.2006 subject to the terms and conditions of the letter dated 3.6.2005 (Annexure A4); this went against the condition laid down in paragraph 3 of the said terms and conditions. Hence ESIC's decision to enforce the date of absorption with effect from 1.1.2006 is unilateral and unjust. The designation of ESIC staff, their length of service and question of monetary benefits have been ignored by ESIC. The absorbed staff have been demoted to a junior cadre. The judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court in (1998) 3 SCC 201 [paragraph 7 of K. Anjaiah and ors V/s. K.Chandraiah and ors] and (2000) 1 SCC 644 (paragraph 15 of Sub-Inspector Rooplal and another V/s. Lt. Governor through Chief Secretary, Delhi and others) have been cited in support of their claim.

7. *Since the application was only filed on 5.8.2013 the applicants have filed an MA No.445/2013 for condoning the delay. They submit that the procedure for absorbing the applicants into the ESIC took several years. The actual orders of absorption were issued only in 2010 (Annexure A15 and A16). The employees objected to the date of absorption and in response the ESIC Headquarters constituted a cell on 16.6.2011 (Annexure A17) to go into and settle various pending issues including the date of absorption. The applicants claim that they made representations to this cell with no response. They have made a series of representations to the respondents as well.*

8. *In their reply statement the respondents point out that in their letter dated 9.10.2003 (Annexure A3) the ESIC had clearly stated that merely exercising the option for absorption in ESIC shall not confer any right to claim absorption, and that the decision of the Corporation in the matter would be final. As per paragraph 3 of the said terms of absorption, an employee opting for absorption had to resign from the state government and his absorption in ESIC would take effect from the date of absorption in ESI Corporation or from the date he joined duty in the ESI Corporation whichever is later (emphasis added). Since all the applicants resigned from the State Government with effect from 31.12.2005 they were absorbed with effect from 1.1.2006. The ESIC could not have absorbed such persons until they had actually resigned from the service of the GOK. The respondents claim that even after handing over the management of the hospital the GOK continued to maintain full control over the employees. They have produced a copy of the minutes of a meeting held on 21.10.2003 (Annexure R1) which they claim makes it clear that employees were still under the control of State Government. Moreover, the State Government continued to transfer the officials to various posts in the hospital; they have named five persons who were posted to the ESIC MH on various dates in 2004 and 2006.*

9. The respondents submit that Annexure A4 dated 3.6.2005 encloses the draft terms and conditions of absorption of employees with effect from 1.1.2006 and that "this communication was issued in supersession of all the previous communications regarding absorption of employees in this Hospital" (emphasis added). The process of obtaining, examining and disposing of the options of the employees took time since the concerns of the employees also had to be addressed. There were instances of employees initially opting for absorption and thereafter seeking to be repatriated to the GOK. It was made clear vide Annexure A4 that resignation from the State Government services would be effective from 1.1.2006. The applicants were free either to accept or reject this condition. The respondents attribute the delay in finalizing the matter to the State Government.

10. The respondents submit that one Dr. Imtiaz Ahmed Khan who was absorbed into the ESIC with effect from 1.1.2006 submitted an application requesting absorption with effect from 1.1.2003 (Annexure R3). This was rejected in a communication dated 17.7.2012 (Annexure R4) which pointed out that the date of absorption had been finalized by the Board of Directors of ESIC in its 134th meeting in respect of ten different hospitals. The respondents have also submitted a copy of a letter dated 30.5.2013 from ESIC HQ to the Union Ministry of Labour and Employment denying that the date of absorption was decided by the ESIC in a unilateral manner. In its 134th meeting held on 21.12.2005 the ESIC decided to allow option to the State Govt. employees working on deputation in 12 hospitals including ESIC MH, Rajajinagar, to be absorbed in ESIC with effect from 01.01.2006. All the State Government employees while exercising their options knew very well that they will be absorbed in ESIC with effect from a specific date, namely, 1.1.2006. They have referred to the decision of the Guwahati Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.39 of 2008 on exactly the same issue which was decided in favour of ESIC. They clarify that the employees of the ESIC, Asramam, Kollam, were absorbed with effect from 1.1.2003 only because the retirement age in the Government of Kerala was then 55, whereas it was 58 or 60 in other States. If the policy to absorb all employees with effect from 1.1.2006 had been applied in the ESIC, Asramam, it would have been unfair, since most of the employees had less than two years service left for retirement as on 1.1.2006. The 134th meeting of the ESIC therefore took a conscious decision to fix 1.1.2003 as the date of absorption of the employees in respect of ESIC, Asramam. The respondents also submit that when a similar matter was agitated before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, the latter decided in W.P.Nos.433, 546, 562 and 577 of 2013 to let the Ernakulam Bench of this Tribunal decide the said matter.

11. A separate statement of objections has been filed on behalf of respondents 3 and 4 who are the Director, Directorate of ESIC Scheme, and the State of Karnataka, Department of Labour, respectively. The third and fourth respondents submit that the ESIC has been lenient enough to absorb the applicants with effect from 1.1.2006 in a case of retrospective absorption, even though the process of absorption concluded only in 2010. The mere fact that the applicants were on deputation from 1.4.2003 onward does not entitle them to be absorbed from that particular date.

There was also no provision to absorb them prior to 31.5.2005 unless they resigned from the posts held by them in the State Government. Hence the question of legitimate expectations being disappointed does not arise. However, the pay scales were also not the same. The decisions quoted by the applicants are not relevant to the present case.

12. *In their written submission the respondents have stressed that the employees could have entered the service of the ESIC only after they ceased to be employees of the GOK. Their technical resignations took effect only from 31.12.2005; hence they could not have become regular employees of ESIC until 1.1.2006. The preamble to Annexure A12 dated 3.8.2009 had also indicated that the absorption would take effect from 1.1.2006. This order had not been challenged. The process of absorption had been finalized only after considerable correspondence, and the applicants were well aware of developments that took place before the orders of absorption were finally issued. The date of absorption, 1.1.2006, applies uniformly to various hospitals all over India and any change now would create administrative problems in respect of the all-India seniority of absorbed employees and disturb a settled matter. It would also impact the provisional seniority list of employees published on 16.11.2012 with effect from 31.3.2012 (Annexure R8 of additional reply statement). The date of absorption was decided after considerable deliberation and consultation, certainly not in an arbitrary manner. The respondents have submitted a copy of Resolution No.142 dated 22.2.2008 in which the draft terms and conditions of absorption were amended. The significant changes relevant to the present matter were:*

1. *Date of absorption as 01/01/2006 in the existing document has been deleted as the date of absorption in the case of Madhya Pradesh would be the date decided between the State Government and the ESI Corporation.*
2. *The words, "whichever is earlier" appearing at the end of existing clause-3 have been deleted and only one date of absorption has been provided in the new draft.*

Accordingly the second point of the draft in Annexure A4 was amended as follows:

2. *Mere exercising of option for absorption in Corporation service shall not confer any right on any employee to claim absorption and the decision of the Corporation in the matter would be final based on consideration of the screening.*

The respondents claim that consequently clause 3 of Annexure A4 was modified by a circular issued by the ESIC (Resolution No. 142 dated 22.2.2008). The applicants therefore could not seek absorption with effect from 1.4.2003.

13. *Referring to the batch of cases heard in the Ernakulam Bench of this Tribunal the respondents say that in the said matter an order was inadvertently issued by the ESIC HQ, (vide enclosure to Applicant's Memo*

dated 7.4.2015) showing the date of absorption as 1.1.2003. But no such orders were issued in the case of applicants in the present case. An inadvertent order cannot be cited as a precedent and cannot be construed to replace another well considered decision.

14. The respondents have referred to the judgment in WP (O) No.3464/07 in the Hon'ble High Court of Guwahati wherein the issue regarding absorption of employees of the ESIC hospital Beltola, Guwahati, was closed in the following manner:

In view of the fact that the Writ Petitioner has already taken a decision to absorb Respondents with effect from 01.01.2006, the grievance of the Writ Petitioner in our view is only marginal and did not call for adjudication in this Writ Petition. The Writ Petition is therefore dismissed at the admission stage.

15. The applicants have sought condonation of the delay in filing the OA which they say is about 2 years and 6 months. The applicants were placed on deputation with the ESIC as far back as on 1.4.2003. The process of regularizing their services in ESIC took its own time. The applicants represented against the orders dated Annexure A15 and A16. They also made representation to the committee set up in Annexure A17 to resolve various pending issue including the question of absorption. The applicants submit that they are not aware of what the committee has done so far and that their representations have received no response. They submit that the delay is not intentional and that they have a good case on merit.

16. The learned counsel for the respondents has cited the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Union of India and Others Vs. M.K. Sarkar [(2010) 2 SCC 59], on the question of delay and laches in filing the OA. The reply statement of the 3rd and 4th respondents submits that the cause of action arose as far back as on 11.2.2010 and 7.7.2010 when the absorption was ordered. The applicant failed to approach the Tribunal at that time. They have also quoted the following judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in M.P. Palaniswamy v. A. Krishnan, [(2009) 6 SCC 428]:

30. It cannot be forgotten that this regularization was all along accepted by the present appellants. Once they chose to accept the regularization which was conditional, then it would have to be borne in mind that they have accepted the conditions also. It cannot be countenanced that only the favourable part of the GOMs was accepted by them and the unfavourable part was rejected. If they had to do it, they had to challenge the GOMs immediately. They did not do it, instead they waited almost for six years. When for the first time, they came out with an Original Application vide OA No.3617 of 1994. Again, when the matters were decided in the Writ Petition Nos. 2911 and 3041 of 1998 on 24.3.1998 and the seniority prayed for on the basis of initial appointment was refused to them, they kept quiet, only to raise the same demand again in 2003 when the Panel was prepared.

17. We have studied the judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court in a

number of other cases where the context and the question of "sufficient cause" have figured. In the case of Collector, Land Acquisition Anantnag and another Vs. Mst. Katiji and others the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that:

3. The legislature has conferred the power to condone delay by enacting Section 51 of the Indian Limitation Act of 1963 in order to enable the Courts to do substantial justice to parties by disposing of matters on 'merits'. The expression "sufficient cause" employed by the legislature is adequately elastic to enable the courts to apply the law in a meaningful manner which subserves the ends of justice--that being the life-purpose for the existence of the institution of Courts. It is common knowledge that this Court has been making a justifiably liberal approach in matters instituted in this Court. But the message does not appear to have percolated down to all the other Courts in the hierarchy. And such a liberal approach is adopted on principle as it is realized that:-

1. Ordinarily a litigant does not stand to benefit by lodging an appeal late.
2. Refusing to condone delay can result in a meritorious matter being thrown out at the very threshold and cause of justice being defeated. As against this when delay is condoned the highest that can happen is that a cause would be decided on merits after hearing the parties.
3. "Every day's delay must be explained" does not mean that a pedantic approach should be made. Why not every hour's delay, every second's delay? The doctrine must be applied in a rational common sense pragmatic manner.
4. When substantial justice and technical considerations are pitted against each other, cause of substantial justice deserves to be preferred for the other side cannot claim to have vested right in injustice being done because of a non-deliberate delay.
5. There is no presumption that delay is occasioned deliberately, or on account of culpable negligence, or on account of mala fides. A litigant does not stand to benefit by resorting to delay. In fact he runs a serious risk.
6. It must be grasped that judiciary is respected not on account of its power to legalize injustice on technical grounds but because it is capable of removing injustice and is expected to do so.

Making a justice-oriented approach from this perspective, there was sufficient cause for condoning the delay in the institution of the appeal.....

18. In N. BalakrishnanVs. M. Krishnamurthy the Hon'ble Supreme Court held:

Condonation of delay is a matter of discretion of the court Section 5 of the Limitation Act does not say that such discretion can be exercised only if the delay is within a certain limit. Length of delay is no matter, acceptability of the explanation is the only criterion. Sometimes delay of the shortest range may be uncondonable due to want of acceptable explanation whereas in certain other cases delay of very long range can be condoned as the explanation thereof is satisfactory. in every case of delay there can be some lapse on the part of the litigant concerned. That alone is not enough to turn down his plea and to shut the door against him. If the explanation does not smack of *mala fides* or it is not put forth as part of a dilatory strategy the court must show utmost consideration to the suitor. But when there is reasonable ground to think that the delay was occasioned by the party deliberately to gain time then the court should lean against acceptance of the explanation. A court knows that refusal to condone delay would result foreclosing a suitor from putting forth his cause. There is no presumption that delay in approaching the court is always deliberate. This Court has held that the words "sufficient cause" under Section 5 of the Limitation Act should receive a liberal construction so as to advance substantial justice

19. In State of Bihar and Others Vs. Kameshwar Prasad Singh and another the Hon'ble Supreme Court held:

F. Constitution of India-Art.136 – Delay/Laches – Delay in filing appeal before Supreme Court – Condonation of – Liberal approach preferable – Sufficiency of cause – Where dismissing the appeal on technical ground of delay would, instead of advancing interests of justice, result in failure of justice inasmuch as by virtue of the impuned judgments of the High Court not only seniority and promotion of the parties before the Court be affected but those of several other incumbents also be affected, held Court would be inclined to condone the delay – Words and Phrases – "sufficient cause"

18. Order on MA No.445/2013: We are guided by the wisdom of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the cases cited in paragraphs 17 to 19 above. We have therefore looked at the context in which the delay occurred as well the question of sufficient cause. We do not think the delay is due to any *mala fides*. We believe we would not be doing justice if we were to dismiss the OA simply because it has been filed late. We feel that the present issue is serious enough to warrant consideration of the MA. We feel that it will be in the interest of justice to decide this OA on merits. Accordingly the delay is condoned and the MA is allowed.

19. Having so condoned the delay in filing this application we now come to the main issue agitated by the applicants. We have carefully studied the pleadings of the both parties and considered the arguments of the learned counsel for both sides. We note that the respondents themselves sought the acceptance by the applicants of paragraph 3 of the draft terms and conditions appended to Annexure A4. This paragraph makes it clear that absorption in the service of the Corporation will take effect from the date of deputation or from the date of joining duty in the ESIC whichever is earlier. The Karnataka Employees State Insurance Corporation Model Hospital Welfare Association accepted this condition in its letter dated 1.8.2005

(Annexure A5). The respondents have informed us that this condition was subsequently amended in a circular adopted by ESIC (Resolution No.142 dated 22.2.2008); the words “whichever is earlier” were deleted, and only one date for absorption was provided in the new draft. This amendment took place almost three years after Annexure A4 was presented to the applicants for consideration. There is nothing to indicate that the subsequent resolution No.142 was ever the subject matter of discussion between the employees of the hospital and the management of the ESIC. There is nothing to indicate that this was the subject of discussion between the employees of hospital and Government of Karnataka either. It appears that the ESIC shifted goal posts without keeping the applicants in the picture. This was nothing short of arbitrary. The applicants therefore could be pardoned for being under the impression that they would be regularized in the service of Corporation on 1.4.2003, the date from which they were deputation to the ESIC. The respondents argue that the applicants could not have been absorbed into the ESIC until they had formally quit the GOK; we agree. The point is that since the orders of absorption are dated 11.2.2010 (Annexure A15) and 7.7.2010 (Annexure A16) and take affect from 1.1.2006, the respondents cannot argue that the date of absorption cannot be further backdated.

20. The respondents claim that a considered decision was taken in respect of the employees of the Model Hospital at Asramam, Kollam, since the age of retirement for employees of the Government of Kerala was only 55 years. However we see that in subsequent cases the ESIC proceeded to absorb the employees of other hospitals in Kerala that were transferred to ESIC with effect from subsequent dates. We have seen the order of the Ernakulam Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.1175/2013 filed by the employees of the ESIC MH, Udyogamandal, Kerala. The latter were aggrieved because an Absorption Order dated 25.5.2011 which absorbed them with effect from 2.11.2009 was withdrawn by the ESIC, New Delhi; in subsequent orders their date of absorption was changed to 4.3.2011. The respondents in the said OA submitted that the order dated 25.2.2011 was issued by an incompetent authority and therefore had to be withdrawn. After careful consideration the Ernakulam Bench of this Tribunal accepted the prayer of the applicants and ordered on 26.2.2015 that “The applicants will stand absorbed to the ESIC with effect from 2.11.2009 and 3enjoy all consequential benefits.”

21. The respondents in the present OA submit that the Absorption Order dated 25.5.2011 in the case of the employees of the ESIC MH, Udyogamandal, was inadvertently issued; however no such mistake has been made in the case of employees of the ESIC MH, Rajajinagar. A striking feature in that case as well as the present one is the fact that the ESIC made certain commitments and then backed out from the same.

22. It is worth noting here that the Hon’ble Guwahati High Court did not go into the merits of the case in respect of date of absorption of employees in WP (C) No. 3464/2007. Instead the Court dismissed the case at the admission stage, noting that the very same subject matter was being heard by the Guwahati Bench of this Tribunal of OA No. 39/2008. In turn the Guwahati Bench of this Tribunal disposed of OA No. 39/2008. “[at

admission stage] with direction to the Respondents to treat the copy of present Original Application as a joint representation [of the Applicants] addressed to the Respondents and consider the same/the grievances of the Applicants [as noted in para 1 above] and pass necessary consequential orders expeditiously, preferably within 120 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order". The ESIC then issued an order giving 1.1.2006 as the date from which the applicants in the said OA stood absorbed to the service of the Corporation. We do not know whether this decision was challenged thereafter. In any case the said cases by themselves do not substantiate the stand taken by the respondents in the present matter.

23. After carefully considering all relevant matters we conclude that it was not correct to go back on the condition prescribed in paragraph 3 of the draft terms and conditions (Annexure A4 dated 3.6.2005) circulated for acceptance by the respondents by resorting to a much-later circular (Resolution No. 142 dated 22.2.2008). We therefore set aside the office orders dated 11.2.2010 and 7.7.2010 (Annexure A15 and A16) in respect of the applicants alone. The applicants in all the OAs considered herein will stand absorbed to the ESIC with effect from 1.4.2003 and enjoy all consequential benefits. The OA is accordingly disposed of. No costs."

2. It is agreed that both these matters are similar. But the respondents would add that as per point 3 of the terms of absorption, an employee has to resign from the State Government service and the absorption in ESI Service takes effect from the date of absorption or from the date he joins on duty in the ESI Corporation whichever is later.

3. But then, it is pointed out that then there will be a vacuum in the interregnum between these two dates. This matter we have resolved earlier when upholding that on a particular date as provided in the concerned case, absorption would take place as they were under the direct control of ESI Corporation from the earliest date. They also point out that the various dates on which some of the applicants were absorbed. They would point out that in the case filed before Guwahati Bench on same lines in OA.39/2008, they were absorbed with effect from 01.01.2006 as against take over date of 04.04.2003. In effect, the respondents would submit that different employees were taken into effective service on different dates depending on acceptance letter received from the

State Government. But then, we have also found that all the employees were in the service of ESI Corporation much prior to these dates including the earliest among them.

4. These grounds were raised by the ESI Corporation before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka among many others in WP.No.1596/2017 and WP.No.5760-81/2018, which was disposed off by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 09.02.2017, which we quote:

THESE PETITIONS ARE FILED UNDER ARTICLES226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYINGTO CALL FOR THE RECORDS FROM THE HON'BLE CAT,BANGALORE BENCH AND QUASH THE ORDERDTD.16.10.2015 IN O.A.NO.809/2013 TO 831/2013 ONTHE FILE OF THE SAID HON'BLE CAT, BANGALOREVIDE ANNEX-A AND ETC.,

THESE PETITIONS COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARYHEARING THIS DAY, JAYANT PATEL J., PASSED THEFOLLOWING:

ORDER

All petitions are directed against the order dated16.10.2015 passed by the Tribunal whereby, the Tribunal, for the reasons recorded in the order, has set aside the communication issued by the petitioner-herein and has directed to treat the original-applicants private respondents herein as stood absorbed from 1.4.2003and consequential benefits.

2.We have heard Mr. Narasimha Holla, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Shankar G. Pandit appearing by caveat for R4 and Mr.D. Nagaraj, learned AGA appearing for R-3.

3. The contention raised on behalf of the petitioner was that, by the impugned order the Tribunal considered the earlier correspondence between the State Government and ESI ultimately decided that the absorption shall be from 1.4.2003. The learned counsel submitted that the absorption can be only when one has left the Government service. Until the resignation is accepted by the Government, ESI- petitioner could not absorb the private respondents. He submitted that offer was made prescribing various terms and conditions and the same was forwarded with the letter dated June 3,2005. If the agreement is considered in totality, it would mean that the employee concerned has to leave the Government service and has to opt for ESI services. It is only after the Government servant has left, absorption can be considered and therefore date given on 1.1.2006is correct and the Tribunal ought not have interfered with the same.

4. Whereas, learned counsel Mr. Shankar G. Pandit, appearing for private respondent no.4 by caveat submitted that in the last proposed draft

agreement which was forwarded with the letter dated 3.6.2005, it is clear that the date was to be considered for absorption "whichever is earlier". Therefore, the date of resignation will be inconsequential. As per him, the Tribunal has rightly considered the matter and this Court may not interfere.

5. We further put a query to the learned counsel for the petitioner that whether the petitioner has granted seniority to the private respondents who are absorbed with ESI and if yes, from which date but learned counsel stated he has to get the information for the seniority given.

6. We may at the outset record that the Tribunal in the impugned order has also reproduced the relevant terms of the draft agreement which for ready reference reads as under:

"1) Option may be exercised by each employee for absorption in Corporation service or for repatriation to the State Govt. service. This option may be exercised by each employee who has a minimum of 2 year's service left in the lending Department as on 01.01.2006.

2) Mere exercising of option for absorption in Corporation service shall not confer any right on any employee to claim absorption and the decision of the Corporation in the matter would be final based on consideration of due screening.

3). An employee opting for absorption has to resign from Stat Govt. service and his absorption in Corporation service will take effect from the date of deputation in ESI Corporation or from the date he joins the duty in the ESI Corporation whichever is earlier(emphasis added)."

7. After considering the same, the Tribunal at para.19 observed thus:

"19. Having so condoned the delay in filing this application we now come to the main issue agitated by the applicants. We have carefully studied the pleadings of the both parties and considered the arguments of the learned Counsel for both sides. We note that the respondents themselves sought the acceptance by the applicants of paragraph 3 of the draft terms and conditions appended to Annexure A4. This paragraph makes it clear that absorption in the service of the Corporation will take effect from the date of deputation or from the date of joining duty in the ESIC whichever is earlier. The Karnataka Employees State Insurance Corporation Model Hospital Welfare Association accepted this condition in its letter dated 1.8.2005(Annexure A5). The respondents have informed us that this condition was subsequently amended in a circular adopted by ESIC (Resolution No.142 dated 22.2.2008); the words "whichever is earlier" were deleted, and only one date for absorption was provided in the new draft. This amendment took place almost three years after Annexure A4 was presented to the applicants for consideration. There is nothing to indicate that the subsequent resolution No.142 was ever the subject matter of discussion between the employees of the hospital and the management of the ESIC. There is nothing to indicate that this was the subject of discussion between the employees of hospital and

Government of Karnataka either. It appears that the ESIC shifted the goal posts without keeping the applicants in the picture. This was nothing short of arbitrary. The applicants therefore could be pardoned for being under the impression that they would be regularized in the service of Corporation on 1.4.2003, the date from which they were deputation to the ESIC. The respondents argue that the applicants could not have been absorbed into the ESIC until they had formally quit the GOK; we agree. The point is that since the order of absorption are dated 11.2.2010 (Annexure A15) and 7.7.2010 (Annexure A16) and take effect from 1.1.2006, the respondents cannot argue that the date of absorption cannot be further back dated."

8. The Tribunal has also taken note of the position prevailing in the other States for which of course, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that they were to be different situations. In our considered view, even if keeping aside fact situation prevailing in the other States and decision of the other Benches of the Tribunal, the matter is considered independently then also, we are unable to appreciate and accept the stand of the petitioner.

9. If absorption and seniority both are reconciled, the resultant effect would be that clause (3) of draft terms of absorption provides that once the employee has opted for absorption and resigned from such service, his absorption in the Corporation will take effect from the date of deputation in ESI Corporation or from the date he joins the duty in ESI Corporation whichever is earlier. Therefore, for absorption, the requirement is that he has to resign from State Government service. But once he has opted to resign and the resignation is accepted, the date of absorption is to take effect from the date of deputation and the date on which he joins the ESI Corporation since it is with the words 'whichever is earlier'. Under the circumstances, it is not possible to interpret that until the resignation takes effect, the date of absorption cannot be finalized. It is also not in dispute that the private respondents who were before the Tribunal did not join the services with ESI and deputation in any case on 1.4.2003. If the clause (3) is independently considered then also, the date of deputation and joining services whichever is earlier is to be treated as the date of absorption.

10. So far as determination of seniority is concerned, clause (4) of terms of agreement (absorption) provides for preservation of the seniority existing in the State Government prior to the absorption and it also provides for the different method of maintaining institutional seniority or all India seniority. In our view, it has relevance only for the purpose of reservation of the seniority in the State Government and maintenance of the seniority in the institutional seniority list as well as all India seniority. Such points were not agitated before the Tribunal. Hence, the deemed absorption as observed by the Tribunal with effect from 1.4.2003 cannot be said to be erroneous view.

11. In view of the aforesaid read with the reasons recorded by the Tribunal, we do not find that a case is made out for interference in exercise of power under Article 227 of the Constitution.

12. Under the circumstances, no case is made out for interference. Hence, the petition is dismissed."

5. Hon'ble High Court having upheld our order, the matter now has become concretised and final. This order was challenged in SLP(Civil) Diary No.4698/2018, which was called upon for hearing on 26.03.2018 and dismissed, which we quote:

"Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following

ORDER

There is an inordinate delay of filing the Special Leave Petition for which no sufficient cause has been shown by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Therefore, the Special Leave Petition (s) is/are dismissed on the ground of delay.

Pending application, if any, stands disposed of."

6. Therefore, the matter has become final on the matter being disposed off by the Hon'ble Apex Court. We are advised that this matter in its matrix had been implemented all over India by now. Therefore, this OA is also allowed with slight difference that the absorption would be from the date of the effective date whichever is earlier.

OA allowed as above. No costs.

(C.V.SANKAR)
MEMBER(A)

(DR.K.B.SURESH)
MEMBER(J)

vmr

Annexures referred to by the Applicant in OA.480/2019

Annexure A-1: Copy of letter dated 30.06.2005 of State Govt. to 3rd respondent.

Annexure A-2: Copy of representation dated 01.08.2005 of ESIC Employees Association.

Annexure A-3: Copy of letter dated 31.07.2008 of 3rd respondent.

Annexure A-4: Copy of circular dated 08.09.2008 of 3rd respondent.

Annexure A-5: Copy of permission letter dated 03.08.2009.

Annexure A-6: Copy of letter dated 20.10.2009 of State Govt.

Annexure A-7: Copy of letter dated 26.10.2009 of 3rd respondent.

Annexure A-8: Copy of representation dated 21.01.2012 of the applicant.

Annexure A-9: Copy of order dated 16.10.2015 in OA.No.809-831/2013.

Annexure A-10: Copy of order dated 09.02.2017 in WP.No.1596/2017 & WP.No.5760-81/2017.

Annexure A-11: Copy of order in SLP (Civil)No.4698/2018.

Annexure A-12: Copy of representation dated 18.06.2018 of the applicant.

Annexures referred to by the Respondents in the Reply

Annexure R-1: Copy of letter dated 09.10.03

Annexure R-2: Copy of Minutes of the 96th of Regional Board, ESIC held on 21.10.2003.

Annexure R-3: Copy of letter dated 25.08.2012.

Annexure R-4: Copy of letter dated 05.03.2012

Annexure R-5: Copy of letter dated 17.07.2012

Annexure R-6: Copy of letter dated 30.05.13

Annexure R-7: Copy of Guwahati Bench order dated 11.04.2008 in OA.No.39/2008.

Annexure R-7: Copy of High Court of Kerala order dated 02.09.2013 in WA.No.562/2013 (L) in WP©.30519/2011.

Annexures referred to by the Applicant in OA.No.401/2019 & ors.

Annexure- A1: Copy of representation dated 10.1.2019

Annexures referred to by the Applicant in OA.No.25/2020 & anr.

Annexure- A1: Copy of deputations list.

Annexure- A2: Copy of order dated 16.10.2015.

Annexure- A1: Copy of representation dated 10.7.2019

.....

