

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH

O.A.No.710/2002  
M.A.No.612/2002

Hon'ble Shri S.R.Adige, Vice-Chairman(A)  
Hon'ble Shri Shanker Raju, Member(J)

New Delhi, this the 2nd day of April, 2002

1. Association of Radio & Television  
Engineering Employees through  
Shri Bachhu Singh Meena  
President  
working as Assistant Engineer Programme Exchange Unit,  
Doordarshan, Khelgaon, New Delhi.
2. Ms. Vrishti Jain  
d/o Shri J.C.Jain  
under Central Production Centre  
Doordarshan, Khelgaon, New Delhi.
3. Shri Subhash Chand Tyagi  
s/o Late Shri Chhidda Singh Tyagi  
Sr. Engineering Assistant  
Office of the Chief Engineer (North Zone)  
AIR and Doordarshan, Jamnagar House  
Shahjahan Road, New Delhi. ... Applicants  
(By Advocate: Shri B.S.Maine) Vs.
1. Union of India through  
The Secretary  
Ministry of Information & Broadcasting  
Shastri Bhawan  
New Delhi.
2. The Director General  
All India Radio  
Akashvani Bhawan  
Parliament Street  
New Delhi.
3. The Director General  
Doordarshan  
Mandi House  
New Delhi.
4. The Chief Executive Officer  
Prasar Bharati  
P.T.I. Building  
Parliament Street  
New Delhi. ... Respondents  
(By Advocate: Ms. Harvinder Oberoi)

O R D E R (Oral)

By S.R.Adige, VC(A):

Applicants impugn respondents' order dated  
27.12.2001 (Annexure A-1) enclosing Draft Eligibility  
list of SEAs as on 1.1.2001 for promotion to the post

of Assistant Engineer under 25% quota, and respondents' order dated 31.1.2002 (Annexure A-1/A) rejecting the representation of applicants ~~against~~<sup>to</sup>, in regard to the aforementioned All India Eligibility List of SEAs.

2. We have heard the applicants' counsel and respondents' counsel.

3. Learned counsel for respondents averred that the aforesaid OA is quite premature as respondents do not propose to make any promotions to the post of AE on the basis of the impugned seniority list as the same has ~~already~~<sup>to</sup> been sent ~~for~~ DoPT for approval and the approval has not yet been received despite several remainders.

4. Learned counsel for applicants contends that the aforesaid Draft Eligibility List has not been prepared in accordance with the relevant Recruitment Rules (Annexure A-2).

5. Noting the aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel for respondents, that respondents do not propose to make any promotions to the post of AE on the basis of the impugned seniority list for the present, we dispose of this OA with direction that in the event the respondents do propose to make promotions to the post of AE on the basis of the impugned seniority list, advance notice of the same

9

[ 3 ]

shall be given to the Association of Radio & Television Engineering Employees, well in time, to enable them to seek such legal remedies as are available to them in accordance with law.

6. The OA is disposed of in the aforesaid terms. No costs.

S. Raju  
(Shanker Raju).  
Member(J)

*S.R. Adige*  
(S.R. Adige)  
Vice-Chairman(A)

/rao/