

Central Administrative Tribunal
Principal Bench

O.A.No.1160/2002
M.A.No.929/2002

Hon'ble Shri Shanker Raju, Member(J)

New Delhi, this the 28th day of May, 2002

1. Shri Asskaran Singh (Aash Karan Singh)
s/o Shri Hargola
Village & PO, Attor Mangla
District Ghaziabad (UP).
2. Guru Prasad
s/o Shri Shiv Prasad
Gali No.2
Shanti Nagar (Bypass)
Ghaziabad (UP).
3. Laxman Prasad
s/o Shri Piare Lal
353/A, Railway San Colony
Ghaziabad.
4. Shri Beer Singh
s/o Shri Nathu Singh
H.No.750 Ambadker Vihar
Chamri Road, Hapur (Ghaziabad)
5. Shri Bhanwar Pal Singh
s/o Shri Chottee Lal
56/E Bhudh Bharat Nagar
Railway Colony (Ghaziabad)
6. Shri Mathura Prasad
s/o Gouri Shanker
H.No.91/E, Bhud Bharat Nagar
Railway Colony (Ghaziabad)
7. Shri Mahender Pal Singh
s/o Shri Ram Singh
H.No.88/E, Bhud Bharat Nagar
Railway Colony (Ghaziabad).
8. Shri Vasudev
s/o Shri Kishan Lal
H.No.169
D-1, Shiv Durga Vihar
Makkad Pur, Ghaziabad.
9. Shri Ram Ashish
s/o Shri Ghoghan Shah
H.No.1267
Shiv Nagar, Lal Kuan
Ghaziabad.
10. Shri Bhogun Dev
s/o Shri Suraj Narayan
H.No.1268, Shiv Nagar
Lal Kuan
Ghaziabad.

3

11. Shri Bal Kishan
s/o Shri Chander
H.No.1266, Shiv Nagar
Lal Kuan
Ghaziabad.
 12. Shri Ram Niwas
s/o Shri Ram Kumar
H.No.231/A, Sunder Puri
Ghaziabad.
 13. Shri Ramvir
Shri Mauji Ram
H.No.231/A, Sunder Puri
Ghaziabad.
 14. Shri Shiv Narayan
s/o Shri Puran Singh
H.No.961, Shiv Puri
Sector-9, Vijay Nagar
Ghaziabad.
 15. Shri Ranbir Singh
s/o Shri Shivraj
H.No.105, Bhim Nagar
Bypass, Ghaziabad.
- ... Applicants
- (By Advocate: Shri M.K.Bhardwaj)

Vs.

1. Union of India through
The General Manager
Northern Railway
Baroda House
New Delhi.
 2. The Divisional Railway Manager
Northern Railway
Baroda House
New Delhi.
 3. The Senior DPO
Northern Railway
DRM Office
Delhi Division, Delhi.
- .. Respondents

O R D E R (Oral)

By Shanker Raju, M(J):
MA for joining together is allowed.
Heard the learned counsel on admission.

2. Though, by an order passed on 3.5.2002,
learned counsel for applicants submits that he will be
filing an application for condonation of delay, today
he has brought to my notice an order passed by the
respondents on 11.10.2001 wherein in pursuance of the
directions of this Court in OA as well as Review

4

Application, the persons who have undergone screening test have been regularised without insisting upon them second screening. As the applicants have also been screened in the year ~~1994~~¹⁹⁹¹ they claim that the same benefit as accorded to the similar circumstance in the aforesaid OA.

⊗ Corrected
vide order
dated 7.11.02
in MA 2270/02

J.R. 13/11

3. It is contended that they have made a representation to the respondents in this regard, but the same has not been paid any heed to and no final decision has yet been taken by the respondents.

4. It is further stated that the directions may be issued to the respondents to consider and dispose of their representation and thereafter to accord them the same benefits which has been given to the aforesaid persons vide their letter dated 11.10.2001.

5. Ends of justice would be met, if the present OA is disposed of at the admission stage itself, even without issuing notices to the respondents, by directing the respondents to treat the aforesaid OA as a supplementary representation of the applicants and to consider their request for being regularised on the basis of the earlier screening test of ~~1994~~¹⁹⁹¹ and having regard to their own order issued on 11.10.2001 and to pass a detailed and speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. I order accordingly. No costs.

⊗ Corrected vide
order dated
7.11.2002 in
MA 2270/02

J.R. 13/11

Let a copy of this order along with OA be sent to the respondents.

S. Raju

(Shanker Raju)
Member(J)

/rao/